

BEFORE THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA, MUMBAI
CORAM: S. RAMAN, WHOLE TIME MEMBER

ORDER

UNDER SECTIONS 11, 11(4), 11A AND 11B OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA ACT, 1992, IN THE MATTER OF –

1. SHINE INDIA AGRO INDUSTRIES LIMITED (PAN: AAOC8059C).
2. ITS PROMOTERS/DIRECTORS, VIZ.
 - a. SHRI SAJAHAN MIDYA (PAN: BCJPM2413D; DIN: 03123485).
 - b. SHRI ARINDAM PAL (PAN: AOFPP2459F; DIN: 02838394).
 - c. SHRI JAYDEB PANDIT (PAN: BGLPP0214P; DIN: 03343227).
 - d. SHRI NIAMAT ALI (PAN: AVYPA7767K; DIN: 05115145).
 - e. SHRI KABIL PATRA (PAN: BPCPP1088R; DIN: 03564187).
 - f. SHRI DULAL SENAPATI (PAN: CJSPS8001G; DIN: 05201226).
 - g. SHRI GURUPADA DAS (PAN: APEPD6402Q; DIN: 02719926).
 - h. SMT SUPARNA DAS (PAN: APUPA4747D).
 - i. SHRI IRIN PARVIN (PAN: AQEDA8799D).
 - j. SHRI BASUDEV GHOSH (PAN: ACDPD9052D).
 - k. SHRI UJJAL MANDAL (PAN: ACDPD9052D).
 - l. SHRI KOBIL PATRA (PAN: BDTPM9456M).

-
1. Securities and Exchange Board of India (“SEBI”) received a complaint dated November 22, 2013, alleging Shine India Agro Industries Limited (“Shine India”) had defaulted in payment of monthly dividend on his investment in *Redeemable Preference Shares*.
 - 2.1 Thereafter, SEBI vide letter dated January 17, 2014, sought the following information from Shine India, viz. –
 - i. Copy of Audited Annual Accounts and Annual Returns of the company for the last 3 years;
 - ii. Name, addresses and occupation of all the Promoters/Directors and Key Managerial of the company;
 - iii. Other information in respect of issue of shares/debentures by the company, viz. –

- a. Copy of Prospectus/Red Herring Prospectus/Statement in lieu of Prospectus/Information Memorandum filed with Registrar of Companies (“**ROC**”);
- b. Date of opening and closing of the subscription list;
- c. Details regarding the number of application forms circulated inviting subscription;
- d. Details regarding the number of applications received;
- e. Details regarding the number of allottees and list of such allottees, etc.;
- f. Copies of the minutes of Board/Committee meeting in which the resolution has been passed for raising such additional capital and also for allotment;
- g. Copies of application forms, pamphlets, advertisements and other promotional material circulated for issuance of shares/debentures;
- h. Terms and conditions of the issue of shares/debentures;
- i. Details of application for listing, if any, filed with stock exchanges;
- j. Copy of Form 2 and Form 10 filed with ROC;
- k. Details of Debenture Trustee.

2.2 The abovementioned letter to Shine India was returned undelivered with the remark ‘*Addressee moved*’.

2.3 As no information was forthcoming, letters dated January 31, 2014, were issued to the Directors of Shine India at the relevant time, viz. Shri Sajahan Midya, Shri Niamat Ali, Shri Kabil Patra and Shri Dulal Senapati, advising them to submit the abovementioned information.

2.4 The letters addressed to Shri Sajahan Midya and Shri Kabil Patra were returned undelivered with the remark ‘*Addressee moved*’.

2.5 The letters addressed to Shri Niamat Ali and Shri Dulal Senapati were delivered. Further, reminder letters dated March 24, 2014, were also sent to the aforesaid entities. However, till date, no reply has been received from them.

- 2.6 Since no information was provided by Shine India and its Directors, information was obtained from the Ministry of Corporate Affairs' website i.e. *MCA 21 Portal*. Accordingly, the following was observed –
- i. Shine India last filed its Balance Sheet for the Financial Year ended March 31, 2011, with the ROC. On an examination of the same, it was observed that issued capital was ₹1900000 of which an amount of ₹1400000 as *Share Application Money*.
 - ii. Shine India had issued *Redeemable Preference Shares* during the Financial Years 2011–12 and 2012–13.
- 3.1 Having regard to the non-cooperation of Shine India and its Directors in submitting requisite information to SEBI, vide an Order dated October 30, 2014 read with Order dated November 23, 2015, an Investigating Authority (“**IA**”) was appointed for investigating possible violations by Shine India of the SEBI Act, 1992 (“**SEBI Act**”); Securities Contracts (Regulations) Act, 1956 (“**SCRA**”); the provisions specified in Section 55A of the Companies Act, 1956 or the Rules or Regulations made or directions issued by SEBI thereunder.
- 3.2 Summons dated January 9, 2015, were issued by the IA to Shine India and all its Directors (past and present) for production of documents and to appear in person before him under Section 11C(3) & 11C(5) of the SEBI Act on January 21, 2015.
- 3.3 The status of compliance of summons is given as under:
- i. Shine India, Shri Sajahan Midya, Shri Arindam Pal, Shri Niamat Ali, Shri Kabil Patra and Shri Gurupada Das – The summons issued to the aforesaid entities were returned as undelivered with the remarks ‘*Addressee moved*’.
 - ii. Shri Jaydeb Pandit appeared in person before the IA. He submitted that he joined as an accountant of Shine India and had no clue as to how he became an Additional Director. When somebody pointed that out to him, he immediately raised the issue with the Chairman of Shine India. After several follow ups, he was made to sign on a resignation letter and subsequently, he was relived from Directorship. However, he continued to work with Shine India as an accountant till June 2013.

He never received any remuneration as a Director. He submitted the appointment letter for the post of accountant.

iii. Shri Dulal Senapati—The status of delivery of summons issued to him is unknown.

3.4 On completion of investigation, the IA submitted an Investigation Report.

4. Upon a consideration of the Investigation Report, it is observed that –

- i. Shine India was incorporated on July 29, 2010, with the ROC, Kolkata with CIN as U01400WB2010PLC151794. Its Registered Office is at 6A, Nararatna Lane, Kolkata–700004, West Bengal, India.
- ii. The present Directors of Shine India are Shri Sajahan Midya, Shri Niamat Ali, Shri Kabil Patra and Shri Dulal Senapati.
- iii. Shri Arindam Pal, Shri Jaydeb Pandit and Shri Gurupada Das, who were earlier Directors in Shine India, have since resigned.
- iv. Shine India had not filed its Annual Accounts and Annual Returns for the Financial Years 2012–13, 2013–14 and 2014–15 till date with the ROC. The same was brought to the notice of the ROC, Kolkata vide SEBI's letter dated May 2, 2016.
- v. Shine India issued *Preference Shares* ("***Offer of Preference Shares***") to investors during the Financial Years 2011–12 and 2012–13, details of which are provided below –

Type of Security	Year	No. of persons to whom <i>Preference Shares</i> were allotted	Total Amount (₹ in Lakhs)
<i>Preference Shares</i>	2011–12	28	30.88
	2012–13	558	72.17
Total		*586	103.05
<i>*Complaints are continuously being received in SEBI and the amount given above is therefore an approximate.</i>			

5.1 In the context of the abovementioned details of the *Offer of Preference Shares*, the issue for determination in the instant matter is whether the mobilization of funds by Shine India through the aforesaid, is in accordance with the provisions of the SEBI Act and the Companies Act, 1956.

5.2 I note that the jurisdiction of SEBI over various provisions of the Companies Act in the case of public companies, whether listed or unlisted, when they issue and transfer securities, flows from the provisions of Section 55A of the Companies Act. While examining the scope of Section 55A of the Companies Act, 1956, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Sahara India Real Estate Corporation Limited & Ors. vs. SEBI (Civil Appeal no. 9813 of 2011) (Judgment dated August 31, 2012)*** (hereinafter referred to as the "***Sahara Case***"), had observed:

"We, therefore, hold that, so far as the provisions enumerated in the opening portion of Section 55A of the Companies Act, so far as they relate to issue and transfer of securities and non-payment of dividend is concerned, SEBI has the power to administer in the case of listed public companies and in the case of those public companies which intend to get their securities listed on a recognized stock exchange in India."

5.3 In this regard –

- i. Reference is also made to Sections 67(1) and 67(3) of the Companies Act, 1956, which are reproduced as under:

"67. Construction of reference to offering shares or debentures to the public, etc.

67. (1) Any reference in this Act or in the articles of a company to offering shares or debentures to the public shall, subject to any provision to the contrary contained in this Act and subject also to the provisions of sub-sections (3) and (4), be construed as including a reference to offering them to any section of the public, whether selected as members or debenture holders of the company concerned or as clients of the person issuing the prospectus or in any other manner.

(2) Any reference in this Act or in the articles of a company to invitations to the public to subscribe for shares or debentures shall, subject as aforesaid, be construed as including a reference to invitations to subscribe for them extended to any section of the public, whether selected as members or debenture holders of the company concerned or as clients of the person issuing the prospectus or in any other manner.

(3) No offer or invitation shall be treated as made to the public by virtue of sub-section (1) or sub-section (2), as the case may be, if the offer or invitation can properly be regarded, in all the circumstances-

(a) as not being calculated to result, directly or indirectly, in the shares or debentures becoming available for subscription or purchase by persons other than those receiving the offer or invitation; or

(b) otherwise as being a domestic concern of the persons making and receiving the offer or invitation.

Provided *that nothing contained in this sub-section shall apply in a case where the offer or invitation to subscribe for shares or debentures is made to fifty persons or more:*

Provided further *that nothing contained in the first proviso shall apply to non-banking financial companies or public financial institutions specified in section 4A of the Companies Act, 1956 (1 of 1956)."*

- ii. While examining the scope of Section 67 of the Companies Act, 1956, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the *Sahara Case* observed that:

"Section 67(1) deals with the offer of shares and debentures to the public and Section 67(2) deals with invitation to the public to subscribe for shares and debentures and how those expressions are to be understood, when reference is made to the Act or in the articles of a company. The emphasis in Section 67(1) and (2) is on the "section of the public". Section 67(3) states that no offer or invitation shall be treated as made to the public, by virtue of subsections (1) and (2), that is to any section of the public, if the offer or invitation is not being calculated to result, directly or indirectly, in the shares or debentures becoming available for subscription or purchase by persons other than those receiving the offer or invitation or otherwise as being a domestic concern of the persons making and receiving the offer or invitations. Section 67(3) is, therefore, an exception to Sections 67(1) and (2). If the circumstances mentioned in clauses (1) and (b) of Section 67(3) are satisfied, then the offer/ invitation would not be treated as being made to the public.

The first proviso to Section 67(3) was inserted by the Companies (Amendment) Act, 2000 w.e.f. 13.12.2000, which clearly indicates, nothing contained in Sub-section (3) of Section 67 shall apply in a case where the offer or invitation to subscribe for shares or debentures is made to fifty persons or more. ...

Resultantly, if an offer of securities is made to fifty or more persons, it would be deemed to be a public issue, even if it is of domestic concern or proved that the shares or debentures are not available for subscription or purchase by persons other than those received the offer or invitation. ...

I may, therefore, indicate, subject to what has been stated above, in India that any share or debenture issue beyond forty nine persons, would be a public issue attracting all the relevant provisions of the SEBI Act, regulations framed thereunder, the Companies Act, pertaining to the public issue. ..."

- iii. In the instant matter, for ascertaining whether the *Offer of Preference Shares* is a public issue or an issue on private placement basis in accordance with Section 67 of the Companies Act, 1956, the number of subscribers is of utmost importance.
 - a. Under the *Offer of Preference Shares*, it is observed that during the Financial Years 2011–12 and 2012–13, Shine India allotted *Preference Shares* to approximately 586 individuals/investors and mobilized an amount of at least ₹103.05 Lakhs (Table at paragraph 4(v) of page 4). The number of investors to whom allotments were made under the *Offer of Preference Shares* during the Financial Year 2012–13 *prima facie* indicate that such *Offer* was a public issue of securities, as prescribed under the *first proviso* to Section 67(3) of the Companies Act, 1956.
 - b. However, it is noted that certain anomalies were observed in the information/details filed by Shine India with the ROC (available on the *MCA21 Portal*) and the complaints submitted by investors. Therefore, the actual number of allottees and amount mobilized by Shine India may be more than the figure of 586 individuals/investors and ₹103.05 Lakhs.
 - c. It is observed that Shine India is not stated to be a Non–banking financial company or public financial institution within the meaning of Section 4A of the Companies Act, 1956. In view of the aforesaid, I, therefore, find that Shine India is not covered under the *second proviso* to Section 67(3) of the Companies Act, 1956.
 - d. In view of the above, the *Offer of Preference Shares* by Shine India, would *prima facie* qualify as a public issue under the *first proviso* to Section 67(3) of the Companies Act, 1956. In this regard, it is pertinent to note that by virtue of Section 55A of the Companies Act, 1956, Section 67 of that Act, so far as it relates to issue and transfer of securities, shall also be administered by SEBI.

5.4 I note that –

- i. From the abovementioned, it will follow that since the *Offer of Preference Shares* is a public issue of securities, such securities shall also have to be listed on a recognized stock exchange, as mandated under Section 73 of the Companies Act, 1956. In this regard, reference is made to Sections 73 of the Companies Act, 1956, of which sub-Sections (1), (2) and (3) are relevant for the instant case, which is reproduced as under:

"73. (1) Every company intending to offer shares or debentures to the public for subscription by the issue of a prospectus shall, before such issue, make an application to one or more recognised stock exchanges for permission for the shares or debentures intending to be so offered to be dealt with in the stock exchange or each such stock exchange.

(1A) Where a prospectus, whether issued generally or not, states that an application under sub-section (1) has been made for permission for the shares or debentures offered thereby to be dealt in one or more recognised stock exchanges, such prospectus shall state the name of the stock exchange or, as the case may be, each such stock exchange, and any allotment made on an application in pursuance of such prospectus shall, whenever made, be void, if the permission has not been granted by the stock exchange or each such stock exchange, as the case may be, before the expiry of ten weeks from the date of the closing of the subscription lists:

Provided that where an appeal against the decision of any recognized stock exchange refusing permission for the shares or debentures to be dealt in on that stock exchange has been preferred under section 22 of the Securities Contracts (Regulation) Act, 1956 (42 of 1956), such allotment shall not be void until the dismissal of the appeal.

(2) Where the permission has not been applied under subsection (1) or such permission having been applied for, has not been granted as aforesaid, the company shall forthwith repay without interest all moneys received from applicants in pursuance of the prospectus, and, if any such money is not repaid within eight days after the company becomes liable to repay it, the company and every director of the company who is an officer in default shall, on and from the expiry of the eighth day, be jointly and severally liable to repay that money with interest at such rate, not less than four per cent and not more than fifteen per cent, as may be prescribed, having regard to the length of the period of delay in making the repayment of such money.

(3) All moneys received as aforesaid shall be kept in a separate bank account maintained with a Scheduled Bank 1 [until the permission has been granted, or where an appeal has been preferred against the refusal to grant such. permission, until the disposal of the appeal, and the money standing in such separate account shall, where the permission has not been applied for as aforesaid or has not

been granted, be repaid within the time and in the manner specified in sub- section (2)]; and if default is made in complying with this sub- section, the company, and every officer of the company who is in default, shall be punishable with fine which may extend to five thousand rupees.”

- ii. In the *Sabara Case*, the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India also examined Section 73 of the Companies Act, 1956, wherein it observed that –

"Section 73(1) of the Act casts an obligation on every company intending to offer shares or debentures to the public to apply on a stock exchange for listing of its securities. Such companies have no option or choice but to list their securities on a recognized stock exchange, once they invite subscription from over forty nine investors from the public. If an unlisted company expresses its intention, by conduct or otherwise, to offer its securities to the public by the issue of a prospectus, the legal obligation to make an application on a recognized stock exchange for listing starts. Sub-section (1A) of Section 73 gives indication of what are the particulars to be stated in such a prospectus. The consequences of not applying for the permission under sub-section (1) of Section 73 or not granting of permission is clearly stipulated in sub-section (3) of Section 73. Obligation to refund the amount collected from the public with interest is also mandatory as per Section 73(2) of the Act. Listing is, therefore, a legal responsibility of the company which offers securities to the public, provided offers are made to more than 50 persons.

... Section 73(2) says that every company and every director of the company who is an officer in default, shall be jointly and severally liable to repay that money with interest at such rate, not less than four per cent and not more than fifteen per cent, as may be prescribed. The scope of the above mentioned provisions came up for consideration before this Court in Raymond Synthetics Ltd. & Ors. Vs. Union of India (supra), wherein the Court held that in a case where the company has not applied for listing on a stock exchange, the consequences will flow from the company's disobedience of the law, the liability to pay interest arises as from the date of receipt of the amounts, for the company ought not to have received any such amount in response to the prospectus. I am, therefore, of the view that since Sabaras had violated the listing provisions and collected huge amounts from the public in disobedience of law, SEBI is justified in directing refund of the amount with interest."

- iii. Having regard to the abovementioned observations of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, since the *Offer of Preference Shares* is *prima facie* a public issue in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act, 1956, the same will attract the requirement of compulsory listing before a recognized stock exchange in terms of Section 73(1) of

the Companies Act, 1956 and also compliance with the provisions of Sections 73(2) and 73(3) of that Act.

- iv. In the facts of the instant case, it *prima facie* appears that Shine India has violated the provisions of Section 73 of the Companies Act, 1956, in respect of the *Offer of Preference Shares*.

5.5 Under Section 2(36) read with Section 60 of the Companies Act, 1956, a company needs to register its prospectus with the ROC, before making a public offer or issuing the prospectus. As per the aforesaid Section 2(36), "*prospectus*" means any document described or issued as a prospectus and includes any notice, circular, advertisement or other document inviting deposits from the public or inviting offers from the public for the subscription or purchase of any shares in, or debentures of, a body corporate. As mentioned above, since the *Offer of Preference Shares* was made to fifty persons or more, it has to be construed as a public offer. Having made a public issue, Shine India was required to register a prospectus with the ROC under Section 60 of the Companies Act, 1956. I find that there is no evidence on record to indicate that Shine India has registered a prospectus with the ROC. In view of the same, I find that Shine India has *prima facie* not complied with the provisions of Section 60 of Companies Act, 1956.

5.6 Under Section 56(1) of the Companies Act, 1956, every prospectus issued by or on behalf of a company, shall state the matters specified in Part I and set out the reports specified in Part II of Schedule II of that Act. Further, as per Section 56(3) of the Companies Act, 1956, no one shall issue any form of application for shares in or debentures of a company, unless the form is accompanied by abridged prospectus, contain disclosures as specified. Based on the material available on record, I find that Shine India has not complied with the provisions of Section 56(1) and 56(3) of the Companies Act, 1956 and therefore, has *prima facie* violated the aforesaid provisions.

5.7 Upon a consideration of the aforementioned paragraphs, I am of the view that Shine India is *prima facie* engaged in fund mobilising activity from the public, through the *Offer of Preference Shares* and as a result of the aforesaid activity has violated the aforementioned provisions of the Companies Act, 1956 (Section 56, Section 60 read with Section 2(36), Section 73).

6. I note that under the *Offer of Preference Shares*, Shine India allotted *Preference Shares* to the public during the Financial Year 2012–13. The past and present Directors and Promoters of Shine India were/are Shri Sajahan Midya, Shri Arindam Pal, Shri Jaydeb Pandit, Shri Niamat Ali, Shri Kabil Patra, Shri Dulal Senapati, Shri Gurupada Das, Smt Suparna Das, Shri Irin Parvin, Shri Basudev Ghosh, Shri Ujjal Mandal and Shri Kobil Patra. It is alleged that the aforementioned Directors and Promoters are responsible under Section 73(2) of the Companies Act, 1956, read with Section 27(2) of the SEBI Act, for the *prima facie* contraventions committed by Shine India through the *Offer of Preference Shares*.
7. SEBI has a statutory duty to protect the interests of investors in securities and promote the development of, and to regulate, the securities market. Section 11 of the SEBI Act has empowered it to take such measures as it deems fit for fulfilling its legislative mandate. Further, as per the provisions of Section 55A of the Companies Act, 1956, administrative authority on the subjects relating to public issue of securities is exclusively with SEBI. For this purpose, SEBI can exercise its jurisdiction under Sections 11(1), 11A, 11B and 11(4) of the SEBI Act read with Section 55A of the Companies Act, 1956 (as mentioned in paragraphs 5.1–5.7 above). It is pertinent to note that Shine India and its Directors, viz. Shri Sajahan Midya, Shri Arindam Pal, Shri Niamat Ali, Shri Kabil Patra and Shri Gurupada Das, have failed to co-operate with the Investigation conducted by SEBI and have not appeared before the IA in compliance with the summons issued against them under Sections 11C(3) and (5) of the SEBI Act [Paragraph 3.3(i) at page 4]. Further, Shine India and its Directors, viz. Shri Sajahan Midya and Shri Kabil Patra, were not found to be existing at the registered addresses submitted by them in regulatory filings. Although Shri Jaydeb Pandit has submitted that he had resigned from Shine India, it is observed from the material on record that he was a Director during the period when funds were mobilized by Shine India. Steps therefore, have to be taken in the instant matter to ensure only legitimate fund raising activities are carried on by Shine India and no investors are defrauded. In light of the facts in the instant matter, I find that there is no other alternative but to take recourse through an interim action against Shine India and its Directors and Promoters, for preventing that company from further carrying on with its fund mobilising activity under the *Offer of Preference Shares*.

8. In view of the foregoing, I, in exercise of the powers conferred upon me under Sections 11, 11(4), 11A and 11B of the SEBI Act read with Section 19 thereof and the Debt Securities Regulations, hereby issue the following directions –
- i. Shine India (PAN: AAOCSS8059C), shall forthwith cease to mobilize funds from investors through the *Offer of Preference Shares* or through the issuance of equity shares or any other securities, to the public and/or invite subscription, in any manner whatsoever, either directly or indirectly till further directions;
 - ii. Shine India and its past and present Directors and Promoters, viz. Shri Sajahan Midya (PAN: BCJPM2413D; DIN: 03123485), Shri Arindam Pal (PAN: AOFPP2459F; DIN: 02838394), Shri Jaydeb Pandit (PAN: BGLPP0214P; DIN: 03343227), Shri Niamat Ali (PAN: AVYPA7767K; DIN: 05115145), Shri Kabil Patra (PAN: BPCPP1088R; DIN: 03564187), Shri Dulal Senapati (PAN: CJSPS8001G; DIN: 05201226), Shri Gurupada Das (PAN: APEPD6402Q; DIN: 02719926), Smt Suparna Das (PAN: APUPA4747D), Shri Irin Parvin (PAN: AQEDA8799D), Shri Basudev Ghosh (PAN: ACDPD9052D), Shri Ujjal Mandal (PAN: ACDPD9052D) and Shri Kobil Patra (PAN: BDTPM9456M), are prohibited from issuing prospectus or any offer document or issue advertisement for soliciting money from the public for the issue of securities, in any manner whatsoever, either directly or indirectly, till further orders;
 - iii. Shine India and its abovementioned past and present Directors and Promoters, are restrained from accessing the securities market and further prohibited from buying, selling or otherwise dealing in the securities market, either directly or indirectly, till further directions;
 - iv. Shine India shall provide a full inventory of all its assets and properties;
 - v. Shine India's abovementioned past and present Directors and Promoters, shall provide a full inventory of all their assets and properties;
 - vi. Shine India and its abovementioned present Directors and Promoters, shall not dispose of any of the properties or alienate or encumber any of the assets owned/acquired by that company, without prior permission from SEBI;
 - vii. Shine India and its abovementioned present Directors and Promoters, shall not divert any funds raised from public at large through the *Offer of Preference Shares*, which are kept in bank account(s) and/or in the custody of Shine India;

- viii. Shine India and its abovementioned past and present Directors and Promoters, shall co-operate with SEBI and shall furnish all information/documents sought vide letters dated January 17, 2014 and January 31, 2014.
9. The above directions shall take effect immediately and shall be in force until further orders.
- 10.1 The *prima facie* observations contained in this Order are made on the basis of the material available on record i.e. information obtained from the *MCA 21 Portal*. In this context, Shine India and its abovementioned Directors and Promoters, are advised to show cause as to why suitable directions/prohibitions under Sections 11(1), 11(4), 11A and 11B of the SEBI Act and Section 73(2) of the Companies Act, 1956, read with Section 27(2) of the SEBI Act, including the following, should not be taken/imposed against them:
- i. Directing them jointly and severally to refund money collected through the *Offer of Preference Shares* alongwith interest, if any, promised to investors therein;
 - ii. Directing them not to issue prospectus or any offer document or issue advertisement for soliciting money from the public for the issue of securities, in any manner whatsoever, either directly or indirectly, for an appropriate period;
 - iii. Directing them to refrain from accessing the securities market and prohibiting them from buying, selling or otherwise dealing in securities for an appropriate period.
- 10.2 Shine India and its abovementioned Directors and Promoters, may, within 21 days from the date of receipt of this Order, file their replies, if any, to this Order and may also indicate whether they desire to avail themselves an opportunity of personal hearing on a date and time to be fixed on a specific request made in that regard.
11. This Order is without prejudice to the right of SEBI to take any other action that may be initiated against Shine India and its abovementioned Directors and Promoters, in accordance with law.

Place: Mumbai
Date: July 7, 2016

S. RAMAN
WHOLE TIME MEMBER
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE BOARD OF INDIA